Graphic Novels, Adolescence, “Making Spaces,” and Teacher Prep in a Graduate YAL Course

Political and intellectual forces are exerting pressures that may challenge the ecology—the space, place, and landscape—of Young Adult Literature (YAL) in English language arts teacher education curricula and in K–12 classrooms. A call for the January 2015 issue of English Journal, for example, asks stakeholders to consider what the authors call the “youth lens,” one that can “sit alongside feminist, queer, Marxist, and postcolonial approaches” and “views adolescence as a construct and calls attention to and critiques representations of youth” (NCTE, 2014). The call suggests a narrow contemporary view of adolescence that may guide future constructs of adolescence and YAL courses:

[We] have yet to sufficiently examine how we view adolescence and how these views affect how we teach English. Typical ways of thinking about adolescence come from biological and psychological understandings (e.g., raging hormones, identity crisis). These lenses prevail in our thinking, representing the adolescent as a moody, self-centered, peer-oriented being that is different from adults in distinct ways. These deficit orientations position youth passively, present their life circumstances as demeaning, and fail to account for seeing this category, like others, as a social construct.

How, then, will challenging our notions of adolescence influence our construction of YAL and its courses? What are the ramifications for accepting “deficit orientations,” if the call is accurate in its claim?

Forces at Play or Forces at Bay?

In “‘We Brought It upon Ourselves’: University-Based Teacher Education and the Emergence of Boot-Camp-Style Routes to Teacher Certification,” Friedrich (2014) also takes issue with education’s over-reliance on psychology-based notions of learning and development, seeing it as a reason why education programs are undermined by alternative routes to teacher certification. The “psy-field” lens has led to detrimental decisions driving methods, content, and curricula, “colonizing” teacher education, and “serving as the privileged lens through which content and learners are being led” (p. 5).

Rather than view child and adolescent psychology as representing absolutes, Friedrich suggests teacher educators observe the “psy-field” as one of many lenses needing examination, historicization, and contextualization within methods and content, which, Friedrich argues, are too often separated in current teacher education programs. YAL courses, often but not always housed in English departments, have been under scrutiny since they’ve existed, from without and within: Should they be literature courses and focus only through literary lenses? Should they be methods courses or hybrids? Friedrich’s concerns could offer support for blended approaches to YAL curricula, not to mention graduate-level YAL courses. The field has long been discussing ways to develop and offer such courses with appropriate curricular fit and rigor.
If secondary teachers are forced into constricting curricula that represents a re-reification of the canon, what role will YAL play in students’ development in school spaces?

Furthermore, the Common Core State Standards (CCSS; National Governors Association Center for Best Practices & Council of Chief State School Officers, 2010), with their oft-confusing and contradictory statements on exemplars, new ratios for fiction–nonfiction, and new definitions of American literature, world literature, and informational texts, may affect drastically the choices teacher educators make in YAL courses as they scramble to meet state-mandated edicts while keeping true to their own expertise. How many are changing their syllabi in response, making room for nonfiction or replacing salient YA texts with those favored by the politically powerful proponents of CCSS? Indeed, even our professional journals’ editors feel compelled to address the CCSS and the tensions they create for YAL (keep reading this issue of TAR and see Fall 2013’s nonfiction focus, for example). In addition, if secondary teachers are forced into constricting curricula that represents a re-reification of the canon, what role will YAL play in students’ development in school spaces, and how might that affect the perceived need for YAL courses in ELA teacher preparation programs?

Ecology, Erudition, and Experience in “Literature for Youth”

In autumn of 2012, I spearheaded the initial offering of a graduate-level YAL course in which I and my students presciently (read “unwittingly”) addressed issues of curricula and purpose mentioned by Friedrich and, eventually, in the aforementioned English Journal call. We crafted a possible blueprint for a graduate-level YAL course and overtly challenged the underlying assumptions about textual complexity, quality, and learning inherent in the misguided CCSS. Herein I offer details about the course and how my students and I explicitly examined the need for YAL that blends literary and social science lenses in the ecology of teacher education and K–12 settings.

About the Course

English 5340 “Literature for Youth” is a graduate-level course for students in the University of Texas at El Paso English Department’s recently revived MAT degree. The course serves both experienced K–12 ELA teachers seeking an advanced credential and many recently graduated students with no teaching experience beyond student teaching. My syllabus’s description of the course reveals its goals, very much reminiscent of those in the EJ call and Friedrich’s article:

This course will mine the intersections of adolescence, secondary education, and literary analysis through the intense study of and reflection on works of scholarly merit in the domains of the humanities and the social sciences and will provide literary texts through which various lenses, critical approaches, and concepts from that literature may be applied or explored. This section of the course focuses on the graphic novel as primary literary text.

The Venn diagram in Figure 1, sitting atop the syllabus, attempted to visualize for students how our various components would intersect. In her “Strengthen the Profession” chapter of Reign of Error, Diane Ravitch (2013) argues that while in teacher education programs, students should:

...engage in a year of study of such subjects as cognitive science, literacy, child development and adolescent psychology, the sociology of the family and the community, cultural diversity, the needs of students with disabilities, the

Figure 1. A visual representation of the curricula for “Literature for Youth”
nature of testing, and the history, politics, and economics of education. (p. 275)

Here Ravitch does not run counter to Friedrich, who calls for the “psy-focus” to be considered a lens, not the lens. As English 5340 developed, my students illustrated for me that college-level Young Adult Literature courses, depending on how they are framed, are potential spaces, possible ecologies, where future teachers examine many of these subjects. That every YAL text we read was a comic or graphic novel, while not the focus of this essay, suggests that format and genre are still important concerns when developing YAL courses, but they needn’t be the primary ones. (Nobis [2013] recently wrote, “I don’t understand why we still so often have to debate the merits of graphic novels” [p. 31], and I have abandoned the apologetic stance that demands a constant recapitulation of defining them then defending them. That work has been done and is readily available. A good place to start would be this essay’s bibliography.) Below, I discuss inspirations, goals, and resources from the course and share student responses supporting my claim.

Curricular Inspirations and Integrations

In planning the course, I drew upon my scholarly influences regarding young adult literature, namely Donelson and Nilsen, whose Literature for Today’s Young Adults (2005; see also Nilsen, Blasingame, Nilsen, & Donelson, 2012) has been a staple in how I situate students’ earliest framings of YAL, especially in terms of how to define and analyze such texts for quality and how to view them through allegorical lenses. I am also influenced by Kaywell (1997, 2000, 2010) and others (Lesesne, 2010; Herz & Gallo, 2005) who promote a complementary approach to integrating YAL into secondary classrooms. I find such an approach gels nicely with my aim of asking teachers to organize their instruction thematically or around big questions (Smagorinsky, 2008; Stern, 1995).

My own work informed my decision to integrate comics as the main texts, as I have found (as did Lapp, Wolsey, Fisher, & Frey, 2011/2012) that many educators aren’t using comics and may be unaware of the medium’s potential, despite available scholarship (Bakis, 2012; Bitz, 2009, 2010; Carter, 2007a, 2007b, 2012; Jacobs, 2007b; Monnin, 2009, 2013; Seglem, Witte, & Beemer, 2012; Schwarz, 2002). By asking students to read texts that qualified as YAL and comics, I hoped to enhance their knowledge of both. Through exploring the comics’ young characters as exemplars of adolescent experiences in life and especially in school, I hoped to tap into the power of multiple-case sampling in order to, as Miles and Huberman (1994) suggest it should, “add confidence” to our findings as texts and conversations multiplied. As students moved across our seven general themes (see below), they were able to note nuances, but also to triangulate (Stake, 1995; Yin, 2008) similarities between textbook case studies, various adolescents’ actual and fictional experiences, and scholars’ opinions on facilitating successful schooling experiences for young people.

The second edition of Michael Sadowksi’s edited collection Adolescents at School (2010) braided together the strands and provided further critical framing and research. Sadowski often mentions Erikson’s theories of adolescent development (1968), and so too did our work in using young adult graphicia to study “aspects of identity that can have profound effects on adolescents’ learning and school lives: race, ethnicity, immigrant status, gender, sexual orientation, gender identity and expression, social class, ability and disability, and spirituality” (p. 5). Indeed, beyond the initial frames provided by Donelson and Nilsen, the concepts of developmental moratorium and foreclosure (see Sadowski, p. 15) were among the first and most common through which students viewed characters. Both Sadowski and Donelson and Nilsen assert that the guiding question of young adults and young adult literature is “Who am I?” (Sadowski, p. 13). Donelson and Nilsen add Patty Campbell’s line, “And what am I going to do about it?” (p. 3).

Furthermore, while all texts are multimodal (Kress in Cope & Kalantzis, 2000, p. 187), I contend that comics are more multimodal than most (Groensteen, 2007, 2013; Jacobs, 2007a, 2013; Wolfe & Kleijwegt, 2012). I set a goal for these students to consider expanded visions of adolescents, schooling, and textuality to engage in what the New London Group calls “transformative practice” when they return to their classrooms. I wanted my students to be more
informed regarding what it means to be an adolescent in contemporary American schooling; to note what tensions teenagers experience as they navigate and construct identities and differences and influence and are influenced in social spheres; to acknowledge comics’ ability to facilitate literary, interpersonal, and intrapersonal connections within the classroom; and to consider how, as teachers, they might open, create, or facilitate spaces for students once they apply their new knowledge.

To meet those objectives, we studied chapters from the Sadowski text, along with other articles from education scholars and literary-based comics scholars, as well as roughly 25 graphic novels through six overlapping, reciprocal, and reflexive “identity themes”: Youth and the Middle East; Racial Identity and Immigration; “Latinidad” and Chicano/a Identity; Gender; Sexuality and Faith; and “Disability.” Students furthered their individual interests by crafting a 30-source bibliography and 20-page research paper themed “Adolescence and [A Topic of Their Choosing].” In addition, we each posted several weekly entries devoted to our readings to a DelphiForum message board. We shared thousands of words over 982 messages. The forum became a prime learning space for us, and students were quick to relate that just as I and our authors were asking them to consider opening up spaces for adolescents who might not see themselves reflected or accepted in literature or in their school settings, I had “practiced what I preached” by creating a (multimodal) online space for open, frank discussion and sharing.

The class was comprised of 26 students—7 males and 19 females. The class was diverse, including individuals who identified as Black, White, Hispanic, Native American, and Asian American. Several identified as “mixed” at times, but as one race or ethnicity at others. They also identified as either straight or gay.

Twelve identified as having previous or current teaching experience.

After initial introductions to the course, basic “best of the best” tenets and themes of YAL, an overview of the Sadowski text, and a crash course in the scholarship on comics and literacy, students were asked to share what made them excited and anxious. Many noted the course’s focus on graphic novels:

Adri: When I found out that the class was going to cover graphic novels, I was excited. I spent many years reading manga and comic books, and I knew graphic novels could be useful in a classroom setting, but I wasn’t too familiar with them. I’m hoping to see and better understand the effect that graphic novels can have on society, particularly our young ones, who cringe at a book with thousands of words, but who instantly become interested when there are pictures involved.

Maria-Rebecca: The first day of class really helped tie all sorts of loose ends that the syllabus had left. I am very interested in learning more about how young adult literature in combination with adult guidance can aid adolescents in developing an identity. I was surprised to learn that the coming of age theme was essential to young adult literature. I very much enjoy reading classic novels that treat the *bildungsroman* theme and am fond of using this type of reading as core or supplemental texts in lesson planning. I am most interested in exploring the graphic novel as a medium and learning how to incorporate them into my future classroom.

Nadia: I am concerned about the number of graphic novels that we have to read since I have never read one before and I am not sure what to look for and analyze in them. I believe once we start posting our ideas and responding to each other, all my worries will be put to rest.

Brenda: Like Nadia, I’ve only read one graphic novel ([Maus](http://example.com) [Spiegelman, 1986]), so I’m very excited about reading the variety of novels we have for this class. I like the idea of a Literature for Youth class actually using the books that young adults read. I am also looking forward to researching my topic and learning something new.
A common rejoinder was for students to discuss anecdotes of interest or even confusion from peers or family regarding why “comic books” were appropriate space for graduate students, much less teens.

This data suggest that, early on, the class allowed several students to consider the graphic novel as school-worthy text for the first time. Especially, they appear intrigued at the “novelty” of comics in the classroom. A common rejoinder was for students to discuss anecdotes of interest or even confusion from peers or family regarding why “comic books” were appropriate space for graduate students, much less teens. Consider Ana’s commentary from our first identity unit, “Youth and the Middle East”:

I have to say that I was very moved and impressed by Zahra’s Paradise. Moved, because it truly was “heartbreaking” as the review on the cover states, and impressed because of the symbolism and amount of analyzing that is involved in reading this graphic novel. There really is this sort of idea that because graphic novels have pictures, they are not very deep or should not be given that much value as literature, and I would say that Zahra’s Paradise [Amir & Khalil, 2011] proves otherwise. I have to share this quick personal incident that happened to me this weekend. I decided to take the book to my choir practice for church because during the practice our director focuses on other voice parts at times and so instead of just sitting there waiting during these times, I wanted to do some reading. I got into the book so much that I did not notice that I was supposed to be singing. Next thing I know, the director stops everyone and addresses me. “Ana,” she says, laughing, “it’s time to sing; let’s put the cartoon book down, please!” Of course, I felt extremely humiliated, but this also showed me how just because my book had “pictures,” it suddenly became a children’s or “cartoon” book in my choir director’s eyes.

Such responses ebbed from the message boards as students read more graphic novels, suggesting the very sensible possibility that if more teachers read more graphic novels, they might be more willing to open up space for them in their classrooms.

Our second identity theme was “Racial Identity & Immigration.” Given the diversity of the participants and the fact that the course took place less than a mile from the US/Mexican border (border identity dynamics are often at play in my students’ classes), it is worthy of special attention. Brandon makes clear connections between several of the graphic novels, including Anya’s Ghost (Brosgol, 2011) and American Born Chinese (Yang, 2006), in this unit and issues from the Sadowski:

Chapter three of the Sadowski book discusses some of the major themes of immigrants in American schooling. . . . One of the major themes of the chapter is, “[I]mmigrant children face the unique challenges of learning a new culture and negotiating different cultural contexts and expectations” (Suarez-Orozco, Qin, & Amthor, 2010, p. 53). We see this as a huge issue in both Anya’s Ghost and American Born Chinese, where both Anya and Jin attempt to fit in, culturally and socially, with your typical American high school student: “A unique challenge faced by many immigrant youth is the need to live in different, often conflicting worlds that come with very different expectations. They are consistently exposed to two sets of norms—those of the country of origin and those of the receiving society” (p. 54).

Anya, Jin, and Danny all face this idea of conflicting worlds throughout the graphic novels. Anya has put in her time adjusting to the American way of life and still
feels the separation of her American lifestyle from the Russian lifestyle she lives with at home. She initially denies friendship with Dima because of these ideals of separation between her American and Russian characteristics. Jin attempts to deny friendship with Wei-Chen because of these same ideals related to the work he has put into becoming American. Danny faces this split in worlds as he battles his feelings against his cousin, Chin-Kee. Danny is the “true” American high school teenager, but is constantly reminded of his past by the annual haunting of his exuberant cousin.

The Sadowski chapter says, “For immigrant youth, how they negotiate different and often conflicting expectations plays an important role in their adaptation and development, both during adolescence and beyond,” and this is seen throughout both graphic novels as each teenager attempts to create a personal identity (Suarez-Orozco, Qin, & Amthor, 2010, p. 55). The majority of the characters in these two graphic novels seem to be partaking in what the authors call “Relational engagement,” which is “the extent to which students feel connected to their teachers, peers, and others at school” (p. 62). “Social relations provide a variety of protective functions—a sense of belonging, emotional support, tangible assistance and information, guidance, role modeling, and positive feedback” (p. 62). The relationships that each of the characters build reflect what they are trying to create themselves into. Jin wants to become American in order to date Amelia; Anya wants to become American until she realizes the horror that Emily reveals to her; Danny wants to dismiss his Asian heritage because of the way Chin-Kee represents himself. All of the characters are interrelated, and most form some sort of relationship in order to produce their own identity.

Lisa, one of our veteran teachers (a literacy specialist) who became a role model for many peers in the course, makes practical applications:

“There’s no place like home.” Yes, Dorothy, there is no place like home, and for many of our students, home is another place that is not in America. So, when immigrant students find themselves at school, how can educators help them transition from the school of their country to the school in the place they now call home? In “Adolescents from Immigrant Families” [the third section of the Sadowski text], relationships and adaptation in school are the focus of this study as it examines how immigrant students navigate and adapt to the social worlds of school and how their adaptation may lead to diverse educational outcomes (Suarez-Orozco, Qin, & Amthor, 2010, p. 52). As educators recognize that students’ lives outside the school influence their social and academic engagement in the classroom, teachers must find a way to bridge the gap between immigrant and native students’ experiences with stereotypes.

One way to close the gap is for teachers to provide opportunities for immigrant students to learn to adapt to cultural changes while providing classroom situations in which native students learn about the different cultures and customs that their new classmates bring from home. These ideas call for graphic novels such as American Born Chinese and The Arrival [Tan, 2006]—the first one because it speaks to the stereotypes that many immigrant students face while at school, and the second one because it depicts the plight of the immigrant on route to a “better life.”

The exposure of all the experiences shared in the narratives of these graphic novels supports the profound shifts that newcomer immigrant youth undergo as they struggle with who they are and the changing circumstances they are negotiating in relationships with their parents and peers (Suarez-Orozco, Qin, & Amthor, 2010, p. 63). Addressing stereotypes in this manner would provide all students with an opportunity to experience an immigrant’s challenges, fears, and hopes while fostering an environment of understanding and acceptance in the classroom for all.

Therefore, as Lee reminds us in “Model Minorities and Perpetual Foreigners”:

“[S]chools currently play an active role in perpetuating stereotypes; they also contain the seeds of potential change. Schools can make curricular changes that disrupt and challenge these stereotypes” (Lee, p. 82). The first step in this challenge is the teacher’s willingness to be aware of her students’ backgrounds and, thus, to make instructional decisions that include multicultural literature that mirrors the ethnic diversity in her classroom. Yes, there is no place like home . . . welcome to room 222 where your home has a place of honor. Please come in.

Bernie, another experienced educator, responds:
I love this line that you wrote in your post:

“The first step in this challenge is the teacher’s willingness to be aware of her students’ backgrounds and, thus, to make instructional decisions to include multicultural literature that mirrors the ethnic diversity in her classroom. Yes, there is no place like home . . . welcome to room 222 where your home has a place of honor. Please come in.”

This line reminded me of a student I had about three years ago. He was a Korean boy named Jae, but he insisted on spelling it as Jay. I guess he wanted to Americanize his name because he said Jae was too difficult for teachers to remember how to spell. I never gave in to that spelling of his name. I remember one time, I was asking about his schooling back in Korea. I was curious as to how it was different from American schooling. He explained to me how students were studious and parents were very strict about their children achieving success in school. But then he said something like, “But that was in the past. I don’t want to talk about it because it’s embarrassing.” I stopped him right there and told him he should never be embarrassed about where he came from. He was Korean and that was part of him, his identity. I told him to be proud of everything that led him to be what he was.

Jae had lived in Mexico before coming to El Paso, and he was fluent in Spanish. He had also learned English while in Mexico because he had attended an American school over there. He was in my AP English I class and had the highest grade, not because he was the smartest, but because he was the hardest working student I had ever known. If he didn’t understand something, he would ask 100 questions until he did understand. He would come before and after school and even during lunch to get help if he needed it. This was not just with me, but with all his teachers. Even though he came to M.H.S. three weeks late, he kept up with the current work and made up the three weeks he missed before the end of the quarter.

My point here is that even though I saw evidence of Jae trying to “distance” himself “from the stigma of foreignness,” he kept true to his upbringing in regards to education. He was not about to let himself drop below a 98% in any class (Lee, 2010, p. 78). But I hope it was also in part because the teachers provided him with a safe environment, and his “relational engagement” was pretty high, including at home with his mother. Although his father was away on business much of the time, his mother seemed to have a big role in his life. She was his guiding force. He also had Korean friends outside of school. Because Jae had an emotional support network, he did live up to the model immigrant stereotype.

Jin of American Born Chinese seems the exact opposite of Jae. It seems the “relational engagement” that Suarez-Orozco, Qin, and Amthor (2010) write of is perhaps lacking in Jin (p. 62). There is hardly any mention of his parents, his teachers get his name wrong, his American peers make fun of him, and he doesn’t care to associate with those of his own country. He seems pretty isolated, and so the “sense of belonging, emotional support, tangible assistance and information, guidance, role modeling, and positive feedback” are virtually lacking in his life (p. 62). Jin seeks to fill this void with as much assimilation into American culture as possible. He seeks out emotional support from Amelia, and the only way he can get that, he thinks, is by shedding his true identity.

Lisa and Bernie are exploring connections to classroom practice and realities that relate to what they noticed in the research and the “case studies.” Sadowski and his contributors often offer student biographies or situations as cases, too—yet another example of how my case approach matched well with Adolescents at School.

As we moved through other identity units, students repeatedly expressed one desired point of action—the need for teachers to facilitate spaces for adolescents to be themselves, regardless of or in some cases specifically based on their gender, sexuality, immigrant status, etc. This type of transformative practice among teachers is a key objective of the Sadowski text, but he worries that . . .

Provide literature on a wide range of subjects that adolescent students could identify with and [expand] upon this literature to discussion involving the whole class.

[standardized tests, state curriculum frameworks, and other accountability-based measures have dominated the educational reform landscape for some time now, and these reforms may well have a place in setting the baseline levels of knowledge we want all students to demonstrate before they graduate our schools. But they also carry with them the risk of seeing each student not as a real person but as a number, a percentile ranking along a distribution of test scores, or a member of a group labeled “proficient,” “needs improvement,” or “failing” (p. 8).

Josh articulates his interpretation of tensions between policies and practice:

It is the teacher’s responsibility to allow students a tolerant space to express themselves. A way to do that seemed to be modeled nicely in class [Author’s note: I tried to move students beyond spaces of “tolerance” to spaces of “acceptance”]: Provide literature on a wide range of subjects that adolescent students could identify with and [expand] upon this literature to discussion involving the whole class. This
does sometimes create tension, but growth comes out of problematic situations.

The challenge then becomes how are teachers able to do this in an environment that seems more focused on standardized testing than on the individual student? How can educators foster this open space where a wide range of topics can be covered when they are challenged to prepare “most” students to pass a test?

Certainly NCLB, the Common Core, and the privatization movement, often cloaked in the rhetoric of academic improvement for all children, suggest a future for adolescents where sense of achievement will be connected almost solely to standardized testing, as may be their teachers’ and administrators’ jobs. In such boiler room environments, will teachers be able to facilitate spaces that weren’t necessarily always present in the pre-Common Core classroom, either? Many of my students were left with an “If not me, who?” ethos regarding creating in-class environments where all students felt represented, valued and valid. But, as Miles Myers (1996) forewarned, perhaps these spaces can’t exist in school except as before- or after-school programs and clubs. I attest that thematic instruction or inquiry units may be one means of opening up dialogue with adolescents and that YA graphic novels can help students build connections between and among peers in ways that might facilitate acceptance and understanding.

However, we know that despite the benefits of thematic approaches, many teachers continue to teach using traditional modes. We have evidence that despite research on the benefits of YAL (Hazlet, Johnson, & Hayne, 2009; Rybakova, Piotrowski, & Harper, 2013) and graphic novels, teachers aren’t incorporating such texts as much as they ought, nor are they maximizing their potentials. And when David Coleman, a major player in the construction of the English Language Arts Common Core State Standards, seems to support the notion that texts that build connective tissue between students’ identities and lived experiences need to take a back seat to informational texts and rhetorical writing because “[a]s you grow up in this world you realize people really don’t give a shit about what you feel or what you think,” how far can “If not us, who?” take an educator, especially a beginning teacher, in meeting Sadowski and his contributors’ ultimate goal?: “If we want all students to achieve—not just on tests but in the pursuits that are important in their own lives—then trying to understand as best we can who they are and where they are coming from may be the best place to start” (Sadowski, p. 8).

The Ecology of Claims

I cannot say with certainty that all of my students are now more likely to integrate comics into their future classrooms, nor can I offer hard evidence that they will be successful at attempts to open up spaces for acceptance for all manner of adolescents struggling and striving to define themselves at crucial social and intrapersonal moments in their lives. Further, I can’t offer evidence that those not teaching thematically or via guiding questions bought in to that approach. What I can offer is that my students did learn from the case approach in terms of making connections between academic scholarship, central characters from the comics, and the educational researchers’ work. Furthermore, and perhaps of greater consequence to readers herein, many expressed a wish that they had been exposed to the adolescent identity research much earlier in their teacher education courses than the graduate level:

Bernie: I was just wondering how you all felt as teachers going into the classroom. Did you feel your education classes had adequately prepared you for dealing with adolescent issues in the classroom? On p. 222, John Raible and Sonia Nieto (in Sadowski, 2010) show how researcher Laurie Olsen “found that the great majority of teachers did not believe that they needed additional preparation to serve the new diversity at the school. Most reported that being ‘color blind’ was enough.” I wonder why this is? Is there something wrong in the way teachers are prepared, or should I say, unprepared, for dealing with the realities of a classroom? . . . [N]ot until my graduate classes was student identity ever stressed as an important pedagogical step in the
classroom. When I applied lessons in my own class that have students reflect on their identity, I saw the need in their lives for such discussion in the way they responded to the assignment, whether it was in writing or in a classroom conversation.

Amy frames her comments in relation to the “Racial Identity & Immigration” theme:

All that I’ve read this semester—about setting low expectations for immigrant students—makes it obvious that doing that to any child cripples them educationally. I’m afraid that even our undergraduate education programs do that at times because I have felt the sting of knowing that my bachelors degree did not fully prepare me to teach.

Other students speak more generally, but mention the course as a possible motivator of transformed practice:

**Cathy:** Like Bernie, I felt that my preparation going into teaching could have been better established through the courses I enrolled in and partook. I have a good understanding of what is required from me, but working under a teacher as an intern for 15 weeks is not the same as being the teacher. My responsibility as an intern was to grade papers and make copies.

**Maria-Rebecca:** To be honest, I did not feel that my education classes adequately prepared me for dealing with adolescent issues . . . . Like Bernie, it was not until my graduate classes that student identity was stressed as an important pedagogical step in the classroom.

**Carissa:** The classes that I have taken at the undergraduate level did not at all prepare me for teaching. In fact, I thought they helped me to realize that I was not ready to be the kind of teacher that I wanted, therefore I continued on with my education and enrolled in graduate school. Although I am only finishing up my first semester, I feel like I have learned more in a semester than I did in four years!

**Emily:** I would argue that the education classes which I took prior to my internship did not provide as much preparedness as this graduate course has as far as instilling an explicit awareness of the many dimensions which students are navigating within. The only teaching experience which I possess is the four months I spent interning in a sophomore English class during the spring of 2012. During this period, I learned an extraordinary amount about classroom management and student interactions which could only have been learned by physically being in the classroom with 30 very unique individuals, staring at me six periods a day. However, I do wish that I could have known more about identity and its enormous effect on adolescents because I would have been a better teacher. Of course I was aware that students were dealing with personal problems regarding sexuality, disability, immigration, and even suicide; however, it is only through Adolescents at School that I have learned the scope and magnitude of adolescent identity and ways to incorporate it into the classroom curriculum.

One of the most long-lasting lessons which I will take away from this text as a novice teacher is the necessity to create welcoming, safe spaces for dialogue where students can express themselves without fear of chastisement. These spaces will lead to improved learning because students, ideally, will be able to focus more on their studies than on their preoccupations. Secondly, I have learned the power of being a teacher advocate. A running theme through this text has been students relaying horror and success stories of teachers’ actions within their education. As an educator, I need to aggressively advocate for my students so that my classroom becomes a safe space, absent of intolerance and deficit perspective, so that my students may engage and receive the best education possible.

While many Young Adult Literature courses serve future ELA teachers, there is debate about whether they are best taught as literature courses or as methods
courses, or as hybrids. Friedrich and others may suggest that a multi-lensed approach best serves the field and teacher education students. Furthermore, CCSS may necessitate such hybrids. (Scholarly articles are high-level nonfiction texts in and of themselves, after all, suggesting that YAL courses can keep the salient texts and address new foci on other text forms.)

**Conclusion: YAL as Essential, Ecological Sweet Tooth(?)**

My ENGL 5340 students suggest studying YAL alongside research about adolescence and schooling, braided with talk of methods, and facilitated by understandings of adolescent identity and needed school ecologies. Through the texts and cases studied, students in the course saw the importance of teaching beyond tests and standards, be they Common Core State Standards, Texas Essential Knowledge and Skills, or State of Texas Assessments of Academic Readiness. They glimpsed the great need for teachers to understand not just YAL, but adolescents and how to use YA literature to facilitate enriching learning situations with teens that consider the self—the multiple selves, even—with informed, accepting teachers who do indeed give a shit what they think and feel and experience. My students gained a glimmer of cognizance that for preteens and teens, *that* is at the core of education; it is where we must seek transformative practice for preservice teachers, practicing educators, and their students. That we may have pre cognitively addressed growing concerns about the space, place, and landscape of YAL courses and curricula at the undergraduate and graduate levels and thereby offered possible solutions to our colleagues as they navigate new pressures and mandates? Well, that’s just cake.

**Notes**

1. To see a table of contents to help with references to specific chapters and contributors herein, visit [http://www.lib.muohio.edu/multifacet/record/mu3ugb3971413](http://www.lib.muohio.edu/multifacet/record/mu3ugb3971413).
2. To hear these words and get a feel of their context, visit [http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Pu6i6n88YXU](http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Pu6i6n88YXU).

**Dr. Carter** is a visiting assistant professor of English Education at Washington State University, Pullman. He studies YA Lit, multimodality, and comics-and-literacy connections and has published books, articles, and chapters on that topic. Currently, his interests include facilitating interdisciplinary conversation among academics studying graphics from disparate angles, comics and culturally relevant pedagogy, and representations of the American Southwest and Borderland in comics and graphic novels.
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