

**Evaluation of ceftiofur sodium as a chemotherapeutic agent
in grass carp (*Ctenopharyngodon idella*)**

Amornchai Somjetlertcharoen

Dissertation submitted to the faculty of the Virginia-Maryland Regional College of
Veterinary Medicine, Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University in
partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of

DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY
IN
VETERINARY MEDICAL SCIENCES

APPROVED BY :

Stephen A. Smith, Chair

Marion F. Ehrich

John L. Robertson

Steven D. Holladay

Louis A. Helfrich

March 23, 2001

Blacksburg, Virginia

Keyword : ceftiofur sodium, grass carp, *Ctenopharyngodon idella*

Copyright 2001, Amornchai Somjetlertcharoen

Evaluation of ceftiofur sodium as a chemotherapeutic agent in grass carp (*Ctenopharyngodon idella*)

Amornchai Somjetlertcharoen

(ABSTRACT)

Ceftiofur sodium, a third generation cephalosporin, was studied to determine the potential of this drug as an alternative bacterial therapeutic agent for the aquaculture and ornamental fish industry. Grass carp, *Ctenopharyngodon idella* have been selected as the fish model for this study since they are a good representative for both foodfish and ornamental fish and are one of the major species grown worldwide.

Pharmacokinetics of ceftiofur sodium after various routes of administration, histopathologic observations to detect possible toxic effects on the tissues involved in its metabolism and excretion, and the effects on the non-specific immune response were investigated in grass carp.

For the pharmacokinetic studies, ceftiofur sodium was administered a single time to grass carp by four different routes : intracardiac (IC), intraperitoneal (IP), intramuscular (IM) and oral (PO) at a dosage of 8 mg/kg body weight. Serial blood samples were obtained and plasma samples were analyzed by high performance liquid chromatography for ceftiofur (as measured its metabolite, desfuroylceftiofur (DFC) and DFC-related metabolite concentrations). Disposition pharmacokinetic data were best described by a two compartment open model for IC and by a non-compartment model with no lag time for IP and IM administrations. Oral absorption of ceftiofur was not observed in this species. Following IC, IP and IM ceftiofur sodium administration, the final elimination half-lives, maximum plasma concentration, time to reach maximum concentration, volume of distribution and plasma clearance were 0.38, 0.45 and 13.86 hours ; 157.09, 31.54 and

8.86 $\mu\text{g/ml}$; 0, 0.25 and 0.5 hours ; 0.09, 0.17, 0.53 l/kg ; and 0.21, 0.26, 0.26 ml/min.kg, respectively. Desfuroylceftiofur metabolite was highly bound with plasma protein at pH 7.0 and 8.0.

For the histopathological studies, a single intramuscular dose of ceftiofur sodium at three different concentrations, 8 (1X), 40 (5X) and 80 (10X) mg/kg was administered to separate groups of grass carp for evaluation of the potential toxicity to major tissues involved in metabolism and excretion of this drug. These included the anterior kidney, posterior kidney, liver, and spleen. After 48 hours, lesions were seen in the posterior kidney at the highest dose of ceftiofur (10X). Morphological alterations observed microscopically included increased number of renal tubules, tubular necrosis and infiltration of inflammatory cells. No adverse effects on the glomeruli were observed at any concentration of the drug.

For the immunotoxicity studies on the non-specific immune response, dosages of either 8 or 40 mg/kg body weight were administered intramuscularly. After 24 and 48 h, leukocyte number, phagocytic ability and H_2O_2 production were examined in the cells of the pronephros. The results showed that neither dosage had an effect on the number of leukocytes in the pronephros. Phagocytosis was also not significantly altered at either dosage in macrophages from the pronephros. Hydrogen peroxide production was not altered in the pronephros of fish dosed at 8 mg/kg, while at a dosage of 40 mg/kg, H_2O_2 production was significantly increased.

In summary, ceftiofur sodium has potential as an efficacious chemotherapeutic agent for controlling bacterial infection in brood stock and ornamental fish at the recommended dose of 8 mg/kg. A dose as high as 40 mg/kg can be use with careful consideration. This dosage may not directly injure the posterior kidney but it may affect the non-specific immune response of the fish.

Acknowledgments

I would like to express my sincere gratitude and appreciation to Dr. Stephen A. Smith for giving me the opportunity to work in his laboratory. My gratefulness is also expressed to all the member of my committee, Dr. Marion F. Ehrich, Dr. John L. Robertson, and Dr. Steven D. Holladay, for their kindness and helpful advice since my research program was started. I would also like to thank Dr. Louis A. Helfrich for understanding and helping me in pursuit of my degree.

A special thanks is also extended to my fellow graduate students, Dr. Korawut Punareewattana, Dr. Kathleen P. Hughes, Dr. Donald A. Prater, Dr. Renee M. Prater and Brent C. Bowden. Daniel L. Ward and many faculty members of the Virginia-Maryland Regional College of Veterinary Medicine, Sandy S. Brown, Dr. Theresa C. Hrubec, Dr. Robert M. Gogal, Jr., Delbert E. Jones and Joan Kalnitsky are also thanked for their assistance and friendship through my research program. I truly appreciate their generous efforts.

I would like to thanks the Royal Thai Government who provided me with a scholarship and support to study here at Virginia Tech. To my parents, I must give all credit of my accomplishments. Their love, support, encouragement and patience have greatly motivated me to pursue my goals.

Finally, my coming to study at Virginia Tech would not have happened without the encouragement of my uncle who understands the value of the higher education. I would like to acknowledge and dedicate this effort to him.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
ABSTRACT.....	ii
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS.....	iv
TABLE OF CONTENTS.....	v
LIST OF TABLES.....	viii
LIST OF FIGURES.....	x
CHAPTER I	
INTRODUCTION.....	1
CHAPTER II	
LITERATURE REVIEW.....	7
Grass carp.....	8
Antibacterial chemotherapeutics in aquaculture.....	11
Approved antibacterial agents in US.....	17
1. Oxytetracycline (Terramycin for Fish®).....	17
2. Ormethoprim + Sulfadimethoxine (Romet-30®).....	18
Concern regarding antibacterial use.....	19
1. The possibility for development of resistant bacterial strains.....	19
2. Possible residues in food fish.....	21
3. Environmental effects.....	24
Ceftiofur.....	25
REFERENCES.....	34

CHAPTER III

PLASMA PHARMACOKINETICS OF CEFTIOFUR SODIUM IN GRASS CARP, <i>Ctenopharyngodon idella</i> AFTER VARIOUS ROUTES OF ADMINISTRATION.....	54
Abstract.....	55
Introduction.....	55
Materials and Methods.....	57
Results.....	60
Discussion.....	61
Acknowledgments.....	65
References.....	65

CHAPTER IV

HISTOLOGICAL ALTERATIONS IN THE POSTERIOR KIDNEY OF GRASS CARP, <i>Ctenopharyngodon idella</i> INDUCED BY SINGLE DOSE ADMINISTRATION OF CEFTIOFUR SODIUM.....	75
Abstract.....	76
Introduction.....	76
Materials and Methods.....	77
Results.....	79
Discussion.....	80
Acknowledgments.....	82
References.....	82

CHAPTER V

EFFECT OF CEFTIOFUR SODIUM ON NON-SPECIFIC IMMUNE RESPONSE AFTER INTRAMUSCULAR ADMINISTRATION TO GRASS CARP, <i>Ctenopharyngodon idella</i>	90
Abstract.....	91
Introduction.....	91

	<u>Page</u>
Materials and Methods.....	92
Results.....	95
Discussion.....	95
Acknowledgments.....	98
References.....	98
 SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS.....	 105
 APPENDICES	
Appendix A : Determination of Ceftiofur sodium concentrations by HPLC.....	107
Appendix B : Data from the pharmacokinetic study.....	117
Appendix C : Pharmacokinetic model in grass carp.....	124
Appendix D : Preparation of pronephros or spleen for cytometry.....	132
Appendix E : Chemiluminescence assay.....	136
Appendix F : Phagocytosis assay.....	139
Appendix G : Data from the immunotoxicity study.....	142
References for Appendices.....	148
 CURRICULUM VITAE.....	 150

LIST OF TABLES

	<u>Page</u>
CHAPTER I	
Table 1. World aquaculture production of carp in 1989 compared with the cyprinids, total freshwater fish and global finfish aquaculture production.....	3
Table 2. Bacteria and associated bacterial disease of carp.....	4
CHAPTER II	
Table 3. Taxonomy of grass carp.....	10
Table 4. Major antimicrobial drug groups used in aquaculture worldwide.....	13
Table 5. Current research efforts to support development and approval of new antibacterials for fish.....	14
Table 6. Required withdrawal times following oxytetracycline chemotherapy in Atlantic salmon and/or rainbow trout	23
Table 7. The classification of cephalosporins.....	29
Table 8. Activity of various antimicrobials, including ceftiofur, against <i>Aeromonas</i> spp. isolated from ornamental fish.....	30
CHAPTER III	
Table 1. Pharmacokinetic parameters for ceftiofur sodium administered by intracardiac (IC), intraperitoneal (IP) and intramuscular (IM) routes to grass carp.....	72
Table 2. Degree of protein binding of ceftiofur in various pH.....	74

CHAPTER IV

Table 1. Comparison of pathological alterations in the posterior kidney of grass carp (*C. idella*) at 48 hours after a single intramuscular administration with 3 different doses of ceftiofur sodium.....86

CHAPTER V

Table 1. Effect of ceftiofur sodium on the number of leukocytes in pronephros of grass carp, *Ctenopharyngodon idella*.....102

Table 2. Effect of ceftiofur sodium on the phagocytosis response of pronephros leukocytes in grass carp, *Ctenopharyngodon idella*.....103

Table 3. Effect of ceftiofur sodium on the production of H₂O₂ from pronephros in grass carp, *Ctenopharyngodon idella*.....104

LIST OF FIGURES

	<u>Page</u>
CHAPTER II	
Fig. 1. Grass carp or white amur (<i>Ctenopharyngodon idella</i>).....	9
Fig. 2. Chemical structure of the ceftiofur sodium.....	26
Fig. 3. The structure of cephalosporin compared to penicillin.....	27
Fig. 4. Metabolism scheme of ceftiofur in bovine plasma.....	31
Fig. 5. Metabolism of ceftiofur proposed in rats, cattle and swine.....	32
CHAPTER III	
Fig. 1. The mean plasma concentration profile of ceftiofur in grass carp following a single intracardiac (IC) administration of 8 mg/kg.....	69
Fig. 2. The mean plasma concentration profile of ceftiofur in grass carp following a single intraperitoneal (IP) administration of 8 mg/kg.....	70
Fig. 3. The mean plasma concentration profile of ceftiofur in grass carp following a single intramuscular (IM) administration of 8 mg/kg.....	71
CHAPTER IV	
Fig. 1. Morphological changes in the posterior kidney at 48 hours after ceftiofur sodium administration in grass carp (<i>Ctenopharyngodon idella</i>).....	87
Fig. 2. Amount of inflammatory cells and necrotic tubules in the posterior kidney at 48 hours after ceftiofur sodium administration in grass carp (<i>Ctenopharyngodon idella</i>).....	88

Fig. 3. Posterior kidney from grass carp (*C. idella*) administered
ceftiofur sodium intramuscularly at a single dose of 80 mg/kg
(10X).....89

Fig. 4. Loss of tubules and increased appearance of many inflammatory
cells in the group of grass carp (*C. idella*) dosed with 80 mg/kg
(10X) ceftiofur sodium.....89

Fig. 5. Infiltration of inflammatory cells in the posterior kidney of
grass carp (*C. idella*) treated with 80 mg/kg (10X) of ceftiofur
and the decrease in number of tubules.....89