

**STRUCTURAL HEALTH MONITORING USING MULTIPLE PIEZOELECTRIC
SENSORS AND ACTUATORS**

by

Kazuhisa Kabeya

Thesis submitted to the faculty of
Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University
in partial fulfillment of the requirements of the degree of

**MASTER OF SCIENCE
IN
MECHANICAL ENGINEERING**

Dr. Harley H. Cudney, Chair

Dr. Daniel J. Inman

Dr. William R. Saunders

April 30, 1998

Blacksburg, Virginia

Keywords: smart structures, structural health monitoring, piezoelectric sensors and actuators, impedance measurement, temperature compensation, sensing area, electrical transfer admittance, wave propagation, damage location, pulse-echo method, wavelet decomposition

STRUCTURAL HEALTH MONITORING USING MULTIPLE PIEZOELECTRIC SENSORS AND ACTUATORS

by

Kazuhisa Kabeya

Committee Chair: Dr. Harley H. Cudney

Mechanical Engineering

ABSTRACT

A piezoelectric impedance-based structural health monitoring technique was developed at the Center for Intelligent Material Systems and Structures. It has been successfully implemented on several complex structures to detect incipient-type damage such as small cracks or loose connections. However, there are still some problems to be solved before full scale development and commercialization can take place. These include: i) the damage assessment is influenced by ambient temperature change; ii) the sensing area is small; and iii) the ability to identify the damage location is poor. The objective of this research is to solve these problems in order to apply the impedance-based structural health monitoring technique to real structures.

First, an empirical compensation technique to minimize the temperature effect on the damage assessment has been developed. The compensation technique utilizes the fact that the temperature change causes vertical and horizontal shifts of the signature pattern in the impedance versus frequency plot, while damage causes somewhat irregular changes.

Second, a new impedance-based technique that uses multiple piezoelectric sensor-actuators has been developed which extends the sensing area. The new technique relies on the measurement of electrical transfer admittance, which gives us mutual information between multiple piezoelectric sensor-actuators. We found that this technique increases the sensing

region by at least an order of magnitude.

Third, a time domain technique to identify the damage location has been proposed. This technique also uses multiple piezoelectric sensors and actuators. The basic idea utilizes the pulse-echo method often used in ultrasonic testing, together with wavelet decomposition to extract traveling pulses from a noisy signal. The results for a one-dimensional structure show that we can determine the damage location to within a spatial resolution determined by the temporal resolution of the data acquisition.

The validity of all these techniques has been verified by proof-of-concept experiments. These techniques help bring conventional impedance-based structural health monitoring closer to full scale development and commercialization.

Acknowledgments

First, I would like to express my gratitude to my advisor, Dr. Harley H. Cudney. He has always been supporting me and allowing me to study anything I want. Moreover, he has kindly corrected my poor English. I learned so much from him. I would also like to thank my committee members, Dr. Daniel J. Inman and Dr. William R. Saunders. Dr. Inman gave me kind advice and a wonderful research environment as a director of the Center for Intelligent Material Systems and Structures (CIMSS). I have found that he is not only a very famous professor but also a very warmhearted person. Dr. Saunders also gave me some helpful suggestions. I am looking forward to reading his new book on adaptive structures.

I owe a special thank to Dr. Zhongwei Jiang, who was a visiting professor from Tohoku University, Japan. His technical advice was greatly helpful and the discussion in Japanese made me relaxed though it was not good to improve my English.

I would like to thank the staff and my friends at CIMSS, especially Gyuhae Park who is one of my best friends in Blacksburg. We conducted some experiments together. I don't think I could write Chapter 2 without his work. I will never forget the conference in San Diego where we had a great time.

Also, I would like to thank my current employer, NKK Corporation, Japan. They gave me an opportunity to study at Virginia Tech and their support has made this research possible.

Finally, I would like to thank my wife, Chizuko, and my son, Kenji, for their encouragement. I have been missing them so much because I came to the United States alone. But her daily email and the weekly telephone talk with them have been my energy source. ARIGATO.

Table of Contents

Chapter 1

- Introduction 1**
- 1.1 Background 1
- 1.2 Motivation..... 2
- 1.3 Objectives 3
- 1.4 Literature Review..... 5
- 1.5 Piezoelectric Impedance-Based Structural Health Monitoring 7

Chapter 2

- Removing Temperature Effects 13**
- 2.1 Temperature Effects on Piezoelectric Materials 13
 - 2.1.1 Theory 13
 - 2.1.2 Experimental Results 15
- 2.2 Temperature Effects on Structure Being Monitored 17
 - 2.2.1 Theory 17
 - 2.2.2 Experimental Results 20
- 2.3 Compensation Technique..... 25
- 2.4 Experimental Results 27
- 2.5 Conclusions..... 30

Chapter 3

- Extending Sensing Area..... 32**
- 3.1 Global Modes and Local Modes 32
- 3.2 Evaluating Wave Propagation by Coherence Measurement 36
- 3.3 Electric Transfer Admittance 41
- 3.4 Experimental Results 43
 - 3.4.1 Bridge Joint Model 43

3.4.2 Bolted Pipe.....	51
3.5 Conclusions.....	53
Chapter 4	
Identifying Damage Location.....	56
4.1 Time Domain Approach	56
4.2 Excitation Technique	58
4.3 Wavelet Analysis	61
4.4 Experimental Results	66
4.5 Conclusions.....	73
Chapter 5	
Conclusions	75
5.1 Conclusions.....	75
5.2 Recommendations.....	76
References	79
Vita.....	83

List of Figures

Figure 1.1	1-D model of electromechanical interaction of a PZT with its host structure.....	8
Figure 1.2	Experimental implementation on a three-bay truss structure	10
Figure 1.3	Experimental implementation on an aircraft structure	10
Figure 1.4	Experimental implementation on composite repair patches	11
Figure 1.5	Experimental implementation on a steel bridge joint.....	11
Figure 1.6	Experimental implementation on gears.....	12
Figure 1.7	Experimental implementation on composite-reinforced concrete structures	12
Figure 2.1	Influence of temperature on the relative dielectric constant, K	14
Figure 2.2	Influence of temperature on the piezoelectric strain constant, d_{3x}	14
Figure 2.3	Oven with a temperature controller.....	15
Figure 2.4	HP4194A electrical impedance analyzer and PC for data transfer	16
Figure 2.5	Temperature effect on the electrical impedance of a free PZT	16
Figure 2.6	Predicted ratio of natural frequency of the steel beam shifting with temperature (reference temperature = 75 °F).....	20
Figure 2.7	Schematic of the experiment on temperature effects	21
Figure 2.8	FRF of the steel beam with temperature change	22
Figure 2.9	Comparison of the analytical frequency shift with the experimental.....	23
Figure 2.10	Electrical impedance of the PZT bonded on the steel beam with temperature change	24
Figure 2.11	Electrical impedance of the PZT bonded on the steel beam at high frequency range with temperature change.....	24
Figure 2.12	Compensated electrical impedance of the PZT bonded on the steel beam with temperature change	27
Figure 2.13	Bolted pipe joint model in the oven	28
Figure 2.14	Uncompensated electrical impedance of the PZT bonded on the flange with temperature change and damage	29

Figure 2.15	Compensated electrical impedance of the PZT bonded on the flange with temperature change and damage	29
Figure 2.16	Damage metric of uncompensated and compensated impedance (reference 80 °F).....	30
Figure 3.1	Schematic of the impact test.....	33
Figure 3.2	FRF of the bridge joint model.....	34
Figure 3.3	Mode shape of the bridge joint model.....	34
Figure 3.4	Schematic of the impedance-based test.....	35
Figure 3.5	Electrical impedance of PZT 1 and PZT 3 bonded on the bridge joint model.....	36
Figure 3.6	Schematic of the wave propagation test.....	37
Figure 3.7	Wave propagation test at Point A.....	38
Figure 3.8	Wave propagation test at Point B.....	38
Figure 3.9	Wave propagation test at Point C.....	39
Figure 3.10	Wave propagation test at Point D.....	39
Figure 3.11	Coherence at each point (using PZTs as sensors)	40
Figure 3.12	Measurement of electrical transfer admittance between two PZT sensor-actuators.....	42
Figure 3.13	Electrical self admittance of PZTs bonded on the bridge joint model to check the repeatability.....	45
Figure 3.14	Electrical self admittance of PZTs bonded on the bridge joint model	46
Figure 3.15	Electrical total and transfer admittance of PZTs bonded on the bridge joint model.....	47
Figure 3.16	Correlation-based damage metric of the bridge joint model (150-160kHz)	48
Figure 3.17	Correlation-based damage metric of the bridge joint model (20-30kHz)	49
Figure 3.18	Correlation-based damage metric of the bridge joint model (50-60kHz)	49
Figure 3.19	Correlation-based damage metric of the bridge joint model (100-110kHz)	50
Figure 3.20	Correlation-based damage metric of the bridge joint model (200-210kHz)	50
Figure 3.21	Correlation-based damage metric of the bridge joint model (average).....	51
Figure 3.22	Schematic of the electrical transfer admittance experiment on the bolted pipe....	52

Figure 3.23	Correlation-based damage metric of the bolted pipe (average).....	52
Figure 3.24	Concept of the electrical transfer admittance method (1)	54
Figure 3.25	Concept of the electrical transfer admittance method (2)	54
Figure 4.1	Principle of the pulse-echo method in ultrasonic inspection.....	57
Figure 4.2	Deformation patterns of various types of waves	59
Figure 4.3	Schematic of the beam excitation test.....	60
Figure 4.4	Experimental results by various types of excitation.....	61
Figure 4.5	Comparison of STFT and wavelet transform.....	63
Figure 4.6	Schematic of the simulation model.....	64
Figure 4.7	Input and output signal of the simulation.....	64
Figure 4.8	Wavelet decomposition of the output signal.....	65
Figure 4.9	Schematic of the experiment on damage location.....	66
Figure 4.10	Dimension of the beam	67
Figure 4.11	Wave propagation in healthy (without clamp) case with PZT 1&2 excitation	67
Figure 4.12	Wave propagation in damaged (with clamp) case with PZT 1&2 excitation.....	68
Figure 4.13	Wave propagation in another damaged (with clamp) case.....	69
Figure 4.14	Wave propagation in damaged (with clamp) case with PZT 5&6 excitation.....	70
Figure 4.15	Damage location experiment (Excitation: PZT 1&2, Measurement: PZT 3)	71
Figure 4.16	Damage location experiment (Excitation: PZT 5&6, Measurement: PZT 3)	72

List of Tables

Table 1.1	Comparison of structural health monitoring techniques using vibration response.....	6
Table 3.1	Average coherence above 20 kHz.....	40